UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AC



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAY 2 6 2009

DEFICE DUN GENERIZEN HE CC: LOCI Reve 09 JUN - 2 PH 12:22

THE ADMINISTRATOR

The Honorable Charlie Crist Governor State of Florida Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Governor Crist:

Thank you for your letter of April 29, 2009 requesting federal government assistance to help Florida respond to the issues associated with Chinese drywall. I share your concern for the health and safety of Florida's citizens. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is actively supporting parallel federal and state efforts to evaluate Chinese drywall and determine actions necessary to protect the public health.

As you know, the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) is the lead federal agency in this matter. The EPA has been working closely with the CPSC and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), and our state partners, to provide analytical support. EPA has completed its initial content analysis of two samples of Chinese drywall taken from Florida homes provided by CDC's Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). The two pieces of Chinese drywall tested contain sulfur which is not present in the four pieces of domestically produced stock drywall obtained from local stores in Edison, New Jersey, and higher levels of strontium than the domestic drywall samples. I have enclosed a fact sheet and data which explain the drywall elemental analysis for these initial 6 samples. We have also shared this with Florida's Department of Health.

Beyond this analysis, EPA is chairing a federal and state technical group to develop a protocol for home indoor air sampling to characterize the gaseous emissions that may be causing problems under varying temperature and humidity. Next steps in this process are as follows. First, in the effort to complete development of the indoor air sampling protocol, EPA stands ready to perform analysis of additional drywall samples, conduct literature searches, identify chemical(s) and sulfur gases of potential concern that may be responsible for observed odors, and develop/modify analytical methods for indoor air monitoring. Second, three houses in Florida and three houses in Louisiana will be selected to field test the validity of the draft indoor air protocol in consultation with CPSC, CDC, and the respective states. This activity will also help refine the list of suspected causative agents. This second phase will commence during the first week of June.

The results obtained from the activities described above will be used to prepare the protocol for conducting indoor air sampling of homes in Florida, Louisiana and other states throughout the nation. EPA expects the joint federal-state agreed upon air monitoring protocol to be completed by the end of June 2009. Once this joint federal-state agreed upon air monitoring protocol is established, it is our understanding that CPSC will lead the federal effort to conduct in-home testing in accordance with this protocol, and undertake any additional work that is related to pursuing enforcement actions. In addition, CPSC is currently designing a series of chamber tests to evaluate "off-gases" derived when drywall samples from a variety of U.S. and Chinese manufacturers are exposed to varying temperatures and humidity. ATSDR is expected to utilize the data results from both chamber studies and indoor air monitoring undertaken by CPSC to conduct human health risk assessments. Lastly, we understand CPSC will use chamber test results to evaluate fire safety issues associated with corrosion of electrical wiring, gas connectors, smoke and carbon monoxide detectors, and appliances.

Again, thank you for your letter. EPA will continue to stay in close communication with your office on all of these matters. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Jack Bowles, Director of State and Local Relations, at 202-564-3657.

Sincerely kson

Enclosure: Drywall sampling analysis fact sheet and data.

cc: Richard E. Besser, M.D. Acting Director, CDC and Acting Administrator ATSDR

> Nancy A. Nord Acting Chairman, CPSC



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Drywall Sampling Analysis

Background

Consumers from more than 10 States and the District of Columbia have reported concerns related to drywall imported from China that is in their houses. The Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) is the lead federal agency for this issue. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is working with CPSC and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), in coordination with State and local authorities, to investigate this matter.

To gather more information about Chinese drywall, ATSDR requested that EPA conduct an elemental analysis of Chinese drywall and compare it with drywall manufactured in the United States.

Analysis of Drywall Samples

With ATSDR's concurrence, two wallboard samples from Florida houses known to have been manufactured in China were selected by the Florida Department of Health (FDOH) for analysis. Additionally, four samples of U.S.-manufactured drywall were purchased by EPA from local stores in Edison, New Jersey and included in the analysis.

Prior to analysis, the thin layer of paint was scraped off of the two Chinese drywall samples for metals analysis. The paper was then separated from the solid (gypsum) material of all six drywall samples and placed into separate glass jars. The paper portions of the samples were analyzed for metals, semi volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and formaldehyde. The gypsum samples were analyzed for metals, SVOCs, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), formaldehyde, sulfide, water soluble chlorides, total organic carbon (TOC), pH and loss on ignition (LOI).

The results of this analysis will inform additional testing by CPSC to help determine the compounds that may be affecting residents and their houses.

Results

The results of the analysis are noted below. It is important to note that the analysis included a very small sample size, and the results of this testing may not be representative of all drywall products. The analysis was conducted to identify the elemental material contained in the drywall samples and is not itself intended to establish a definitive link between the drywall and the conditions being observed in houses.

- Sulfur was detected at 83 parts per millions (ppm) and 119 ppm in the Chinese drywall samples.
 Sulfur was not detected in the four USmanufactured drywall samples.
- Strontium was detected at 2,570 ppm and 2,670 ppm in the Chinese drywall samples. Strontium was detected in the US-manufactured drywall at 244 ppm to 1,130 ppm. Total acid soluble sulfides were not detected in any samples.
- Iron concentrations of 1,390 ppm and 1,630 ppm were detected in the Chinese drywall samples and in the range of 841 ppm to 3,210 ppm for the USmanufactured drywall samples. Additional drywall samples will be tested to determine whether the iron is present as oxide, sulfide or sulfate.

EPA's analysis showed the presence of two organic compounds in the Chinese drywall that are associated with acrylic paints: propanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2,2dimethyl-1-(2-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) propyl ester at estimated concentrations of 58 ppm and 92 ppm, and propanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 3-hydroxy-2,4,4trimethylpentyl ester at estimated concentrations of 50 ppm and 84 ppm. These compounds were not detected in the US-manufactured drywall.

EPA will continue to work with its federal and state partners to respond to this issue. EPA also is working with a multi-agency and state technical group to develop an indoor sampling protocol for use by CPSC and states to conduct indoor air testing in houses suspected of containing Chinese drywall. The group's goal is to complete the protocol by June 30, 2009.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TEAM Edison, New Jersey 08837

May 7, 2009

Ms. Lynn Wilder Environmental Health Scientist Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry Department of Homeland Security 4770 Buford Highway, NE Mailstop F-57 Atlanta, GA 30341-3717

Subject: Drywall Sample Analysis

Dear Ms Wilder,

The Agency for Ioxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) contacted the Environmental Response Ieam (ERT) of the USEPA Office of Superfund Remediation and Iechnology Innovation (OSRTI) for analytical assistance with the Chinesemanufactured drywall used in Florida. On March 5, 2009, a teleconference was held with ERT, ATSDR and the Florida Department of Health (FDOH) The FDOH provided background information, including the work that had been previously performed by contractors from Lennar and Knauf (a German company that manufactures drywall in China) ATSDR requested that ERT conduct an independent elemental analysis of the Chinese drywall and compare it with the drywall manufactured in the US With ATSDR's concurrence, six wallboard samples were selected for analysis Two drywall samples known to have been manufactured in China were extracted by FDOH from affected homes in Florida Four samples of US manufactured drywall were purchased from local stores in Edison, New Jersey

Drywall Sample Analysis

AISDR requested that the ERT analytical laboratory provide support to analyze drywall samples from China suspected of emitting rotten egg odors and causing copper corrosion (e.g., power switches, appliances) throughout the houses with complaints The corrosion of copper containing items may lead to releases of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and natural gases, depending on their construction materials. Individuals complaining about the drywall in their homes have also reported health issues such as problems with asthma, respiratory irritation, breathing difficulties, coughing, insomnia, eye irritation and headaches At this time, FDOH has been unable to determine if these issues are directly linked to the suspect drywall To date, a relatively low number of samples have been analyzed, and the emission levels detected from samples tested in the laboratory are far lower than those typically associated with such symptoms

Iwo Chinese painted drywall samples extracted from Florida homes by FDOH were shipped to Edison for analysis by USEPA/ERT ERI purchased four USmanufactured drywall samples from local stores for comparison. First, the thin layer of paint was scraped off of two Chinese drywall samples for metals analysis. The top and bottom layers of paper were separated from the solid (gypsum) material of all six drywall samples and placed into separate glass jars. The paper portions of the samples were analyzed for metals, semi volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and formaldehyde. The gypsum samples were analyzed for metals, SVOCs, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), formaldehyde, sulfide, water soluble chlorides, total organic carbon (TOC), pH and loss on ignition (LOI). Also, an optical microscopic examination was conducted to determine the presence of fly ash

The drywall sample manufacturers and product names are as follows: US Gypsum/Hamilton (US); PROROC/Certainteed (US); National Gypsum/Gold Bond (US); GP/Tough Rock (US); Knauf/33928-20055 (China); and MIC/33966-12077 (China). The ERT/REAC analytical methods were modified to analyze these samples, as standard methods were not available in the area of sample digestion/preparation procedures.

Analytical Methods

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds: The gypsum and paper portions of the drywall samples were analyzed using ERT/REAC SOP #1805. A specific weight of sample in grams is extracted with a 1:1 methylene chloride/acetone mix in a Soxtherm extractor. The extract is concentrated, spiked with an internal standard mixture and subsequently analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Target analytes are identified by comparing the measured mass spectra and retention times with those obtained from calibration standards acquired under the same operating conditions used for the samples. Quantitation of each identified target analyte is calculated based on the internal standard method. The method was modified to determine the presence of any non-target compounds via a library search for the purpose of tentative identification. The NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library containing more than 100,000 spectra was used. The elemental sulfur was analyzed using the sample extracts by GC/MS using an ERT/REAC modified method.

Volatile Organic Compounds: The two Chinese and one US-manufactured drywall gypsum samples were analyzed using ERT/REAC SOP #1807 A known amount of gypsum is weighed into a 40-milliliter (mL) Teflon®-lined septum vial, 5 mL of commercially available water suitable for VOC analysis is added, and the sealed vial is placed in the auto sampler. An additional 5-mL portion of VOC-free water containing surrogate/internal standards is added by the autosampler In order to purge the compounds out of the dry wall, the samples were heated for five minutes at 75°C I hese samples were then purged with helium for 20 minutes at the same temperature, desorbed (trapped) onto the trap for four minutes and injected into the GC and detected using a 5975 MSD The method was modified to determine the presence of any nontarget compounds via a library search for the purpose of tentative identification The NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library containing more than 100,000 spectra was used

Metals: The gypsum samples were first screened using a NITON x-ray fluorescence detector (XRF) to determine the presence of any metals The XRF will help to ascertain whether additional metals that are not included in the Target Analyte List (TAL) routinely analyzed by the laboratory need to be added The gypsum, paper and paint samples were analyzed for TAL metals using ERT/REAC SOP #1811, Determination of Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Methods, and SOP #1832, Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption (CVAA) Based on the XRF screening, strontium and sulfur were added to the list of analytes

Formaldehyde, Sulfide, Total Organic Carbon: Analyses for these compounds were contracted to outside laboratories Formaldehyde was analyzed by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC), ultraviolet detection (UV) in accordance with modified NIOSH Method 2016 For acid soluble sulfides, the gypsum samples were distilled using EPA SW-846 Method 9030B, which separates the sulfides from the matrix by adding sulfuric acid to the sample and heating to 70°C The sulfide was quantified using an iodometric method. TOC was determined using a carbonaceous analyzer in accordance with EPA Region II SOP #C-88

Water Soluble Chlorides: A specific weight of sample was mixed with a known volume of water prior to analysis Samples were analyzed using a five-point calibration curve by a modified ferricyanide spectrophotometric technique, as outlined in the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Method 4500-Cl-E

Loss on Ignition and pH: Loss on ignition data were obtained by weighing a known amount of sample into a crucible and igniting at 750°C using the modified Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Method 2540G A 5 percent weight by volume of a gypsum sample in water was prepared and mixed using a magnetic stirrer The pH of the resulting aqueous solution was measured electrometrically using a calibrated pH meter

Alkalinity and Sulfate: Alkalinity was performed in accordance with the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Method 2320B, that uses an acid titrant to measure the buffering capacity or ability to react with acids to a specific pH Sulfates were determined using EPA Region II SOP #C-19

Optical Microscopic Examination: The optical microscopic examination was performed at the ERI-Las Vegas laboratory using an Olympus optical microscope

Discussion of the Results:

The significant differences between the Chinese drywall and the USmanufactured drywall analysis are as follows:

ERT analysis shows the presence of sulfur at 83 ppm and 119 ppm in the Chinese drywall samples and sulfur not detected in four US-manufactured drywall samples. The metal analysis shows the presence of strontium at 2,570 ppm and 2,670 ppm in the Chinese drywall samples, whereas strontium was detected in the USmanufactured drywall at 244 ppm to 1,130 ppm The total acid soluble sulfides were not detected in any of the drywalls Further investigation is critical to determine the presence of strontium as strontium sulfate or strontium sulfide using x-ray diffraction

Iron concentrations of 1,390 ppm and 1,630 ppm were detected in the Chinese drywall samples and in the range of 841 ppm to 3,210 ppm for the US drywall samples. The highest concentration of iron detected in the National Gypsum/Gold Bond drywall was twice as high as the amount found in the Chinese drywall An investigation will be done using additional drywall samples to determine whether the iron is present as oxide, sulfide or sulfate

No evidence of fly ash in the Chinese drywall samples was noted based on the optical microscopic examination

The ERT/REAC SVOC analysis results show the presence of two organic compounds in the Chinese drywall, as tentatively identified by the mass spectrometry library search for the Chinese drywall. The FDOH has requested that ERT further investigate these compounds. The two compounds were propanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2,2dimethyl-1-(2-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) propyl ester (CAS # 74367-33-2) at estimated concentrations of 58 and 92 ppm, and propanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 3-hydroxy-2,4,4trimethylpentyl ester (CAS # 74367-34-3) at estimated concentrations of 50 and 84 ppm. These compounds were not detected in the US-manufactured drywall. ERT analyzed two samples for VOCs by GC/MS. The analyses confirm the presence of the above two compounds in the Chinese drywall, as tentatively identified by the mass spectrometry library search ERI is in the process of obtaining standards of propanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2,2-dimethyl-1-(2-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) propyl ester (CAS # 74367-33-2) and propanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 3-hydroxy-2,4,4-trimethylpentyl ester (CAS # 74367-34-3) to confirm the findings. The literature search reveals that these compounds are found in acrylic paints as reported in the following website:

http://www2.mst.dk/common/Udgivramme/F1ame.asp?http://www2.mst.dk/udgi v/publications/2008/978-87-7052-763-7/html/kap02_eng.htm i

The summary of analytical results of the six drywall (gypsum, paper, and paint) samples is presented in Summary Table 1 The semi-quantitative XRF data for gypsum

analysis are presented in Table 2 The tentatively identified compounds detected by the GC/MS library search for the SVOC analysis are presented in Table 3 for the gypsum and paper portions of the drywall samples

Work in Progress

I he additional drywall samples to be received from CPSC will be analyzed semi-quantitatively for calcium sulfate, strontium sulfide, strontium sulfate, pyrites and iron oxide by x-ray diffraction The drywall samples from the United States and China will also be analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, metals including strontium, sulfide, sulfite, formaldehyde, FOC and LOI An optical microscopic examination for fly ash will also be conducted. Based on these analyses and the chamber study, ERT will conduct indoor air monitoring in Florida and Louisiana in three test houses for predetermined parameters A QAPP is under preparation for the Technical Workgroup to review based on the available information to date, and will be modified based on any new information

If there are any questions, please call me at 732-321-6761

Sincerely Raj Singhwi, Chemist

Enclosures

cc: David Krause, FDOH Barnes Johnson, OSRTI Arnold Layne, OSRTI/TIFSD Jeff Heimerman, OSRTI/TIFSD Dave Wright, ERI Harry Compton, ERJ

Bample No.		1	2	3	4	5	6
Sample ID		US Gypsum/Hamilton	Knaul/33928-20055	MIC/33966-12077	PROROC/Certainteed	ational Gypsum/Gold Bon	GP/Tough Rock
	Method	US	Chage	China	US	US	US
6LOI NI 750C		21	22	24	21	19	24
H of 5% slurry		7.08	7.41	7,35	7.28	7.29	7,31
nalvte		mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	ուցչէց
Aluminum	Moduled REAC SOP 1811	305	1180	946	357	3570	1140
lanium	Modified REAC SOP 1811	5,14	33.5	42.8	14.2	12.8	15.0
Calchum	Modified REAC SOP 1811	278000	268000	254000	267000	245000	246000
Chromium	Modified REAC SOP 1811	1.92	5,28	3.65	2.81	4.34	1.68
Coball	Modified REAC SOP 1811	<0.87	<0.87	<0.83	<0,99	2,99	<0.90
Copper	Modified REAC SOP 1811	<1.52	i.79	2.80	<1.71	6,15	2,07
ron	Modified REAC SOP 1811	B41	1380	1830	1170	3210	1850
Lead	Modified REAC SOP 1811	<2.17	<2.18	<2.33	<2,44	3,48	2.81
Magnessum	Modified REAC SOP 1811	463	5020	10300	934	5250	4080
Manganese	Modified REAC SOP 1811	3.24	48.8	71.3	16.1	69.1	72.4
Aarcury	Modified REAC SOP 1832	2.08	0.562	0.190	0.0668	<0.047	<0,045
Nickel	Modified REAC SOP 1811	<1.30	1,68	1 1.44	1.62	5.41	2.09
Polaystum	Modified REAC SOP 1611	106	368	333	135	685	1490
Selenium	Modified REAC SOP 1811	6.94	2.61	<3.03	3.43	<2.87	<2.92
Sodium	Modified REAC SOP 1811	<217	428	498	<244	<220	<225
Vanadium	Modified REAC SOP 1811	<0,87	2.52	2,28	2.77	3,36	2,34
Zinc	Modified REAC SOP 1811	<6.71	<6.71	<7.24	<7.56	<6.83	10.1
Stronbum (Drywall/Paper)	Modifiad REAC SOP 1611	244145	2570/570	2670/636	499/110	636/19	1130/155
Stronlium (Paint)	Modified REAC SCP 1811	NA	290	122	NA	NA	NA
Alkalinity (CaCO3)	SM2320B	<99	<99	970	<83	840	230
Alkalinky - Bicarbonala	SM23208	<99	<93	870	<98	840	230
Sulfide (Lab1)	9030B	- 4	<4	<4	<4	~4	12
Suilide (Lab 2)	80308	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Sulato	Region I SOP#C-19	6688000	535000	507000	652000	585000	567000
Chipride (water soluble)	Modified SM 4500-CI- E	74	250	100	38	58	143
Sulfur	Modifled REAC SOP 180	5 <8.23	115	53	<6.13	<7.94	<7.94
Formaldehvde (Drywall/Paper)	Modified NIOSH 2108	ND/0,58	ND/0 44	ND/ND	ND/0.83	0,54/ND	0,24/0.87
Total Organic Cerbon	Region II SOP#C-88	4300	2900	4300	2200	5500	10000
TOTAL ORGANIC COMPOUND* (DrywallPaper)	REAC SOP 1805	7.7/75	145/125	243/246	18.3/289	31 6/70	2350/2400

Table-1 Results of the Analysis for Metals in Solid Drywall Material, Paper and Paint

* GC/MS analysis results from BNA extract including TIC'S

. . .

Raj April, 28, 2009

Table 2 Qualitative Analysis of Drywall Gypsum- XRF

Sample #	Sample ID		Ca	Fe	Sr
1	US Gypsum/Hamilton	US	222000 +/- 1200	410 +/- 90	180 +/- 10
2	Knauf/33928-20055	China	240000 +/- 1300	720 +/- 110	1970 +/- 32
2(Duplicate)	Knauf/33928-20055	China	241000 +/- 1300	730 +/- 100	1960 +/- 32
3	MIC/33966-12077	China	238000 +/- 1300	930 +/- 120	2130 +/- 34
4	Proroc/Certainyeed	US	226000 +/- 1200	990 +/- 120	370 +/- 14
5	National Gypsum/Gold Bond	US	210000 +/- 1200	2010 +/- 150	460 +/- 16
6	GP/Tough Rock	US	220000 +/- 1200	1210 +/- 130	844 +1- 21

A. Major - Calcium

<u>بر ، م</u>

Present - Iron, Strontium, Sulfur

Note: the sulfur line appears as week peak in the XRF spectrum of each sample

(sulfur cannot be quantified in these samples with Nitton XRF unit)

B. XRF Results (total concentration) in ppm +/-1 standard deviation

6
Mgm
incentration (
estimated co
spunoduo
Organic Co
r bentified
Tenlatively
Table 3

Startible #		-		2		n		4			5	9	ſ
Yonlauvery lamitika Oraane Compounds	103	US Gypsun/Hamilton	H	Knaul133926-20055	Н	MIC/33968-12077	Н	PROROC/Currainteed	uraintaed	National Gyp	Nalional Gypsum/Gold Bond	GP/Fough Rock	Rock
	1	Cumerine US	C. And	China China		China	0.000	108	Baat		13	50	
Distribute Chinel	474	∔	t	+	╋	+	+	IBACILO	Mark I	1 CA	1 when	umadan	
Crieptwine certain	40			+	t	t	t		T	1.01			T
	04.0	$\left \right $	+	ł	+	ŀ	t	T		4 89			
	9.04	+				t	t	T		0.70			
Ethenol, 2,7-oxyois-	7.24					-	382						
	7 348		-			-1					1.48		
	7.43		-	-									
	7 83	+		+			1						
telene, 1,1-exytos(z-surexy-)	007	+	$\frac{1}{1}$	3.28	315	t	T	T					
	A 127	+	1-	3	t	2.68	t	T					
altryt-	0.40	-								0.55			
	10.04					1.45							
Ethnikut 1-(2-bidoxyalnoxy).	10.4B			8.94	4.28	23.92	1.07						
	11.11		-		-	2.29							
	11.27	-					1			0.65			
	11 45		1			1.52							
	11.49	+				177	1						
	11.58			0.00			1						
	11.74		-	222									
	11.78		-	D.97									
Hexaelhylene phroot dimethyl ether (?)	11.82		1	1.37	1								
	11,08			1.48	1.69		-						
	11.99		-				1			8.8			
2,2,4-1 rimetry4-1,3-pertanadix casobutyrate	10.51	-		1	3	T	0.70			1.00			
	10'21	+	Ť	-	t		Z./#	Ì					
estertunknown	12.63	-+	1	19.12		92.38	1					+	
estertunknown	12.83	+		60,45		19.51			00.0	CR D	1 60		
	10.00	+			1.20	A 2.4	İ		00'0	22.10	87		
Discourded in Consistential Inc. 4. when A and the A and A a	14 81	t	Ì	Ì	4 78	1.9-1		T				-	
	46.44	+		T	0.71		T				121		
Critter	15.47									1.28		-	
Renzomb	10.84	$\frac{1}{1}$	T	l	4.24							-	
Hemomenting selection	17.94	ſ	Ī	0.58	T								
	18.27		128		1,98	1.10			1.12	0.79	2.44		
9-Oclastecenoic add. (E)- or cleic acid	19.72		2.76					0.19			1,23		
	10.86				7.00		1.01					_	
	19.87										1.21		+
0	20.18						8.15	120				24.51	+
	20 89	120	162	0.76	1.94			0.65		0.83		19.78	3.36
000	21.60	D.45	3,56	1.71	3.58	2,13		1.66	1.32	1.69	163	198 23	+
4-phermy.	22,28	1					51.2		000	141 6	27	04 990	04.95
	22.21	20.0	199	2.18	10'0	1017	7 00	0.40	200	3,03	DA P	17.000	-
	87.04 B	RC'II	070	T	10,13		130	0 18	2.3			-	-
	22 01	040	TW	1 87	3.84	100	****	2.89	3.89	348	316	455.0	
COD riteroo	23.31	1	-	1.001		Vare						18.84	-
	23.54	0.42	7.38	2.04	5.53	2.95		307	4,04	3.47	4.86	630.11	
	23.92	-						0.17				32.4	1.32
2,935	24.15					1.12		1.37	3,05	1.64	95'5		
Alkana	23.89	-										15/02	-
Unknown	24.44						18.0					1 4 1 1	_
C28 attente	2414	0.28	4.47	0.92	3.07	14		22	2 69	4 40	1 80	113,00	5 8.1d
	24.77	6X0	9.06	0,63	22.0		R	2000	2,00	2.	72.0	10.5	1
			2.48		112	7/0	212	201	1.40		170	109.40	-
BIKANN	Z1.21		1.83		117		11'2	100	Main		410		+
Binaphtryk wullome teomer	Z1.30	12'0				020	_	67.0				+	+
Bentaphtry surface samar	A1.02	T	1 45			60'O	-		-	-		 	+
I lead/htszonlane	70.00		2				-				2:05	74.28	8 260
C.63 Restored	20.64	210					+.					-	╞
	UN BC	L	0.65								1.65	50.23	3 1.62
Cetetations on Attach of a	200		2.07						1.43		1.13		
Acturbance why why, sty and it same	89 08		- NIN				-			-			0.04
C35 Alkana	31.13											28.45	2
18-Perdistancontenana	32,79		0.67				-	_					+
Unknown	22.72					Н	\vdash	Ц	0.94		0.80	+	
Total organic		7.56	73.72	142.11	118.61	Z33,80	49.84	18.31	20.91	30.46	_	2344.74	.74 85.60

÷.

ſ

i.

.

÷